

**THE COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO**  
**SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT**  
**CASE SUMMARIES**  
**July 12, 2024**

These case summaries are issued for the convenience of the public, the bench, and the bar. They are a brief statement of the court's holdings and are not to be considered headnotes or syllabi. Copies of opinions are available from the particular county's clerk of courts. The full text of each opinion will be available on the Ohio Supreme Court's website at <http://www.supremecourt.ohio.gov/rod/docs/?source=2>.

Case Name: *State of Ohio v. Michael Gene Ramey*  
Case No: Champaign C.A. No. 2023-CA-29; T.C. Case No. 2019 CR 050  
Panel: Welbaum, Tucker, Lewis  
Author: Michael L. Tucker  
Summary: The termination and/or expiration of appellant's five-year term of community-control supervision has rendered moot his appeal from the trial court's earlier decision to keep him on community control with an added condition. Appeal dismissed.

Case Name: *State of Ohio v. Jeffery Goss*  
Case No: Clark C.A. No. 2023-CA-72; T.C. Case No. 23-CR-0237(A)  
Panel: Epley, Tucker, Huffman  
Author: Mary K. Huffman  
Summary: The trial court did not err in imposing consecutive prison sentences. It found that consecutive sentences were necessary to protect the public from future crime and to punish appellant, that consecutive sentences were not disproportionate to the seriousness of his conduct and to the danger that he posed to the public, and that at least two of the multiple offenses were committed as part of a course of conduct and the harm caused by the offenses committed was so great or unusual that no single prison term adequately reflected the seriousness of appellant's conduct. Judgment affirmed.

Case Name: *P.F. v. A.H.*  
Case No: Montgomery C.A. No. 29993; T.C. Case No. 2023 CV 05475  
Panel: Epley, Tucker, Huffman  
Author: Christopher B. Epley  
Summary: Appellant cannot challenge on appeal the trial court's granting of a civil stalking protection order against her as she failed to file objections in the trial court as required by Civ.R. 65.1. Judgment affirmed.

Case Name: *State of Ohio v. William H. Clark*  
Case No: Darke C.A. No. 2024-CA-3; T.C. Case No. 14CR00288  
Panel: Epley, Tucker, Huffman  
Author: Christopher B. Epley  
Summary: The trial court did not err when it overruled appellant's petition for post-conviction relief. The alleged "new" information provided in a 2023 affidavit was barred by res judicata, and any argument regarding appellant's sentence is waived as it was not raised below. Judgment affirmed.

Case Name: *State of Ohio v. Pierre O. Colquitt*  
Case No: Clark C.A. No. 2023-CA-37; T.C. Case No. 21-CR-070  
Panel: Epley, Welbaum, Lewis  
Author: Christopher B. Epley  
Summary: The trial court did not err when it failed to note at the plea hearing that a prison term for failure to comply must be served consecutively to any other prison term. The trial court strictly complied with Crim.R. 11(C)(2)(c) and substantially complied with Crim.R. 11(C)(2)(a) and (b), and appellant's plea was voluntarily made. Any argument regarding jail-time credit is moot as appellant has completed his sentence. Judgment affirmed.