

THE COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT
CASE SUMMARIES
May 3, 2024

These case summaries are issued for the convenience of the public, the bench, and the bar. They are a brief statement of the court's holdings and are not to be considered headnotes or syllabi. Copies of opinions are available from the particular county's clerk of courts. The full text of each opinion will be available on the Ohio Supreme Court's website at <http://www.supremecourt.ohio.gov/rod/docs/?source=2>.

Case Name: *State of Ohio v. James Snowden*
Case No: Montgomery C.A. No. 29932; T.C. Case No. 1993 CR 00218
Panel: Epley, Welbaum, Tucker
Author: Michael L. Tucker
Summary: The trial court did not err in overruling appellant's "motion to vacate illegal sentence." Res judicata precluded appellant from obtaining post-conviction relief based on allegedly defective jury verdict forms or based on ineffective assistance of counsel for failing to raise that issue. Judgment affirmed.

Case Name: *Northwest Ctr Holdings, LLC v. Justin Simon DBA Shanknomi Enterprises, LLC*
Case No: Montgomery C.A. No. 29953; T.C. Case No. 2023 CVG 116
Panel: Epley, Lewis, Huffman
Author: Mary K. Huffman
Summary: Appellant vacated the commercial property following an eviction action, rendering its appeal on the forcible entry and detainer claim moot. Appeal dismissed.

Case Name: *Estate of Theora Rismiller, aka Theora M. Rismiller, aka Theora Mae Rismiller*
Case No: Darke C.A. No. 2023-CA-29; T.C. Case No. 19-1-202
Panel: Epley, Lewis, Huffman
Author: Christopher B. Epley
Summary: The probate court did not err in overruling appellant's exceptions and adopting the co-executors' final and distributive account. Judgment affirmed.

Case Name: *State of Ohio v. Robert Andrew Williams*
Case No: Champaign C.A. No. 2022-CA-29; T.C. Case No. 2022 CR 185
Panel: Epley, Welbaum, Tucker
Author: Christopher B. Epley
Summary: The trial court did not err when it imposed consecutive sentences on appellant. The trial court's findings with respect to consecutive sentences were not clearly and convincingly unsupported by the record. Judgment affirmed.