THE COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT CASE SUMMARIES

March 8, 2024

These case summaries are issued for the convenience of the public, the bench, and the bar. They are a brief statement of the court's holdings and are not to be considered headnotes or syllabi. Copies of opinions are available from the particular county's clerk of courts. The full text of each opinion will be available on the Ohio Supreme Court's website at http://www.supremecourt.ohio.gov/rod/docs/?source=2.

Case Name: State of Ohio v. Rachel E. Hudson Case No: Champaign C.A. No. 2023-CA-25;

T.C. Case Nos. 2022 CR 254; 2023 CR 103

Panel: Epley, Lewis, Huffman Author: Christopher B. Epley

Summary: The trial court did not err in its imposition of consecutive sentences.

The consecutive sentencing findings were not clearly and

convincingly unsupported by the record. Judgments affirmed.

Case Name: State of Ohio v. Curtis Anthony Moody

Case No: Montgomery C.A. No. 29885; T.C. Case No. 2014 CR 03237

Panel: Epley, Tucker, Lewis Author: Christopher B. Epley

Summary: Appellant's successive petition for postconviction relief was untimely

and barred by res judicata. Judgment affirmed.

Case Name: State of Ohio v. Kevin L. Snyder

Case No: Montgomery C.A. No. 29933; T.C. Case No. 2019 CR 0292

Panel: Epley, Lewis, Huffman

Author: Ronald C. Lewis

Summary: The trial court did not abuse its discretion by denying appellant's

petition for post-conviction relief without a hearing where the petition failed to state a substantive ground for relief. Judgment affirmed.

Case Name: Timothy Rehmert v. Barbara Rehmert

Case No: Montgomery C.A. No. 29860; T.C. Case No. 20DR876

Panel: Welbaum, Tucker, Lewis

Author: Ronald C. Lewis

Summary: The trial court did not abuse its discretion in determining the de facto

date of the termination of the parties' marriage, in dividing marital and nonmarital property, or in rejecting appellant's claims of financial misconduct. Ineffective assistance of counsel is not properly raised

on appeal from a divorce proceeding. Judgment affirmed.

Case Name: Jerzy Westerling v. Miranda Westerling (nka Zwicker)
Case No: Clark C.A. No. 2023-CA-38; T.C. Case No. 21-DR-0365

Panel: Epley, Welbaum, Tucker

Author: Michael L. Tucker

Summary: The trial court's judgment granting custody of the parties' two minor

children to the appellee did not constitute an abuse of discretion and

was not against the weight of the evidence. Judgment affirmed.